

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,218		06/24/2003	Kenneth M. Kiely	302-211-27-1-1	4300
27106	7590	08/05/2004		EXAMINER	
MELVIN I	STOLT	Z, ESQ.	•	ESTRADA, ANGEL R	
51 CHERRY MILFORD,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2831	
will one,	C1 001				

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/603,218 KIELY, KENNETH M.		
Advisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angel R. Estrada	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandoned which	ation. A proper repl	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offlictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The apportionally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		•••	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Part of Paper No. 0804

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Upon cursory review, the proposed amendment to claim 1 filed on July 19, 2004 does not clearly placed the claim in condition for allowance. The proposed amendment, specifically the requirement of the indicia formed directly on at least one interior surface of one of said wall member of the housing and covering a major portion of said interior surface, raises new issue requiring further consideration and/or search. The arguments rely on the amendment which has not been entered